

Climate

Persistent Problems

The hidden impacts of hydrofluoroolefins, the latest generation of fluorinated gases





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was written and edited by the Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA). EIA wishes to thank its numerous supporters whose long-term commitment to the organisation's mission and values helped make this report possible. EIA would also like to thank those who reviewed the scientific content of this briefing, including Dr Maria de los Angeles Garavagno (University of Bristol), Dr Rayne Holland (University of Bristol), Professor Hans Peter Arp (Norwegian University of Science and Technology), and Professor Ian Cousins (Stockholm University).

ABOUT EIA

We investigate and campaign against environmental crime and abuse. Our undercover investigations expose transnational wildlife crime, with a focus on elephants, rhinos, pangolins and tigers and forest crimes such as illegal logging and deforestation for cash crops such as palm oil. We work to safeguard global marine ecosystems

by addressing the threats posed by plastic pollution, underwater noise, bycatch and commercial exploitation of whales, dolphins and porpoises. Finally, we work to avert climate catastrophe by strengthening and enforcing regional and international agreements that tackle short-lived climate superpollutants, including ozone-depleting substances, hydrofluorocarbons and methane, and advocating corporate and policy measures to promote transition to a sustainable cooling sector and away from fossil fuels. We use our findings in hardhitting reports to campaign for new legislation, improved governance and more effective enforcement. Our field experience is used to provide guidance to enforcement agencies and we form partnerships with local groups and activists and support their

OUR CLIMATE WORK

work through hands-on training.

Our Climate programme seeks to keep global warming below 1.5°C and meet the climate challenge through rapid, sustained reductions of emissions of all the major greenhouse gases. This will be achieved by developing, implementing and enforcing ambitious national, regional, global and sectoral obligations to reduce emissions of ozone-depleting substances (ODS), fluorinated gases (F-gases), methane (CH4), nitrous oxide (N2O) and carbon dioxide (CO2). These obligations should be reinforced by strong governance frameworks ensuring corporate accountability and sustainable financing for a just and fair transition for all.

EIA is the only NGO committed to combating HFC and ODS climate crime and has almost 30 years of experience investigating and exposing this criminal trade. Our groundbreaking investigations have helped change laws to better protect our planet. Our work also focuses on promoting rapid greenhouse gas mitigation opportunities through the uptake of climate-friendly HFC-free cooling solutions.

EIA UK

62-63 Upper Street, London N1 ONY UK T: +44 (0) 20 7354 7960 E: ukinfo@eia-international.org eia-international.org

EIA US

PO Box 53343 Washington DC 20009 USA T: +1 202 483 6621 E: info@eia-global.org eia.org CONTENTS Executive sum Introduction What are HFOs Indirect climat Other environr Economic, trad Alternatives to Implementing Conclusions ar Appendix References

Environmental Investigation Agency UK UK Charity Number: 1182208 Company Number: 07752350 Registered in England and Wales

Above: Air conditioning units on a building ©Shutterstock/NikD90

mary	4
	6
s?	7
e and ozone impacts	10
nental and health impacts	13
le and governance issues	16
HFOs	18
the transition away from F-gases	21
nd Recommendations	22
	24
	26



Executive summary

Fluorinated gases (F-gases) have, for many decades, been used as refrigerants and in other applications such as foam blowing and fire suppression.

The first generation of F-gases were ozone-depleting chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). These were replaced with hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs), which have a lower although still significant ozone-depleting potential (ODP). The phase-out of CFCs and HCFCs also led to the uptake of a third generation of F-gases, hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). HFCs are potent greenhouse gases (GHGs), many of which have global warming potentials (GWPs) hundreds or even thousands of times that of carbon dioxide (CO₂).

As a result of the action taken under the Montreal Protocol, the ozone layer is recovering and emissions of climate-damaging gases are being curbed. However, as each generation of F-gases was developed, it took many years to act upon the new environmental threats they pose.

With the HFC phase-down now underway, it is important to recall this context when considering which alternatives should be used in their place. Now the fluorochemical industry is promoting a new generation of F-gases – hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs).

What are HFOs?

HFOs are unsaturated F-gases, meaning they contain a carbon-carbon double bond that is not present in previous generations of F-gases. The double bond is also present in other unsaturated halocarbons, namely hydrochlorofluoroolefins (HCFOs) and hydrobromofluoroolefins (HBFOs). Most HFOs have reported GWP values of one or less and HCFOs and HBFOs have ODPs close to zero.

HFOs are used as refrigerants and in refrigerant blends with high-GWP HFCs. They can also be used as foam blowing agents, aerosol propellants, solvents and in some fire suppression systems. During the past decade, production, consumption and emissions of HFOs have been increasing.

HFOs have low GWP values but lead to emissions of other high-GWP and -ODP substances

Uptake of HFOs is encouraged by the idea that these are sustainable products with little environmental impact, but despite their low GWP and ODP values, this is not the case.

In fact, HFOs do contribute, indirectly, to climate change and ozone-depletion due to emissions of high-GWP and -ODP species during HFO production and atmospheric breakdown.

HFOs are a source of persistent PFAS pollution

A common feature of all per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) is that they are very persistent; they are, or they degrade into, substances which are chemically stable and thus remain in the environment for very long periods of time.

Atmospheric breakdown of many common HFOs and some other F-gases results in formation of the ultrashort chain PFAS, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). The available evidence indicates TFA has low levels of toxicity at environmental concentrations, but there are crucial gaps in the evidence. There is also the potential for unknown effects in the future, for example due to chronic exposure or effects occurring in mixtures.

There is ongoing debate within the scientific community about the risks posed by TFA. However, given the irreversible nature of TFA pollution, the ongoing debate must not prevent immediate action. A precautionary approach, which restricts the use of HFOs and avoids unnecessary risks to people and the environment, is required.

HFOs also come with economic, trade and governance issues

HFOs are typically the patented products of large multinational chemical companies and they tend to be more expensive than HFCs and HCFCs. Uptake of HFOs has also been hampered by supply problems.

HFOs are often used in blends with HFCs. This prolongs the production and use of high-GWP HFCs and can create additional challenges for management of refrigeration equipment. As drop-in replacements for HFCs, there is a risk of illegal HFC use during the servicing of equipment.

In recent years, illegal HFC imports have been labelled as HFOs, presenting new enforcement challenges.

Non-fluorinated alternatives are available for most F-gas applications

The transition away from HCFCs and HFCs is of utmost importance, but the uptake of HFOs can be avoided by instead replacing HFCs with not-in-kind technologies or non-fluorinated alternatives, which are already available for most of F-gas applications.

Some of the tactics and arguments used to promote HFOs echo those made prior to the phase-out of previous generations of F-gases or other PFAS.

Unfavourable evidence is being questioned or ignored, a lack of consensus about the risks is being used to delay action and the discussion is distorted by misleading or exaggerated claims about the sustainability or viability of non-fluorinated alternatives.

The precautionary principle must be applied to avoid unnecessary risks to people and the planet

There are evidence gaps and uncertainties when it comes to the use and impacts of HFOs and more research is urgently needed, but this must not be used as a reason to delay action. If HFOs significantly increase in use, the presence of these chemicals and their breakdown products will become widespread in the environment and will become increasingly difficult to address.

EIA urges all governments and parties to the Montreal Protocol to apply the precautionary principle, wherever possible avoiding the uptake of HFOs to avoid unnecessary risks to people and the planet. Governments, parties to the Montreal Protocol and manufacturers and commercial users of these products must act to implement a transition away from all F-gases.



Introduction

Fluorinated gases (F-gases) have, for many decades, been used as heat transfer agents (ie, refrigerants) in cooling and heating and in other applications such as foam blowing and fire suppression.

After it was discovered that the first generation of F-gases, CFCs, were causing significant damage to the ozone layer, countries around the world came together to take action. The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer was adopted in 1987 and, as a result, the primary use of CFCs in products and equipment has been phased out around the world.¹ Initially, CFCs were replaced with HCFCs, which were intended as transitionary substances with lower, although still significant, ODP.² The phase-out of CFCs and HCFCs also led to uptake of a third generation of F-gases, HFCs. Although HFCs are not ODS, they are potent GHGs, many of which have GWPs hundreds or even thousands of times that of CO2.³

Recognising the climate threat posed by HFCs, in 2016 parties to the Montreal Protocol adopted the Kigali Amendment, under which the consumption and production of HFCs will be phased down to about 15-20 per cent of current levels.⁴

As a result of the action taken under the Montreal Protocol, the ozone layer is recovering and emissions of climate-damaging gases are being curbed.⁵ However, as each generation of F-gases was developed, it took many years to act upon the new environmental threats they pose. With the HFC phase-down now under way, it is important to recall this context when considering which alternatives should replace them.

This report focuses on the new generation of F-gases being promoted by the fluorochemical industry – unsaturated halocarbons, known as hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs).

Producers of HFOs claim these are sustainable products⁶ and while it is true they have lower GWP and ODP than previous generations of F-gases, they still pose unnecessary risks to public health and the environment. Non-fluorinated alternatives are already available for most F-gas applications, thus there is no need to repeat history by transitioning to yet another generation of fluorochemicals. Instead, it is time to put people and the planet first and finally break free from F-gases.

Although this report is focused on HFOs, a similar precautionary principle should be applied to the use of other fluorinated compounds being used as ODS or HFC replacements, such as perfluorocarbons (PFCs) and hydrofluoroethers (HFEs).



Source: EIA



What are HFOs?

HFOs are F-gases containing a carbon-to-carbon double bond, which is not present in saturated halocarbons such as HFCs and HCFCs. The double bond is also present in other unsaturated halocarbons, namely HCFOs and HBFOs.

The presence of the carbon-carbon double bond increases reactivity, causing HFOs and other unsaturated halocarbons to break down rapidly in the atmosphere. Their short atmospheric lifetimes, usually a matter of days, mean that the direct climate and ozone impacts of these chemicals are lower than for longer lived saturated halocarbons.⁷ As such, many HFOs have

Figure 1: Generations of damaging F-gases

reported GWP values of one or less, while HCFOs and HBFOs, which are examples of a group of compounds known as very short-lived substances (VSLS), have ODPs close to zero (see Table 1).^{\circ}

The increased reactivity imparted by the double bond also means that some HFOs, such as HFO-1234yf and HFO-1234ze(E), are flammable, although the presence of the chlorine or bromine modulates this effect in HCFOs and HBFOs (see Appendix).⁹

Above: A HFO-1234yf car air-conditioning recharging system.

The main use of HFOs is as refrigerants and they are often used in refrigerant blends with high-GWP HFCs to achieve the desired properties.¹⁰ For example, refrigerant R-452A (GWP 2,336) is a blend of HFC-125 (GWP 3,820), HFC-32 (GWP 749) and HFO-1234yf (GWP <1).¹¹ HFOs can also be used as foam blowing agents, aerosol propellants and solvents and in some fire suppression systems (see Table 1).

In developing countries (referred to as Article 5, or A5, countries in the context of the Montreal Protocol), the HCFC phase-out is ongoing and the phase-down of HFCs is just beginning (with the level of permissible HFC consumption now capped in most countries).¹² However, in developed (non-Article 5, or non-A5) countries, the HFC phase-down mandated under the Kigali Amendment is already under way.¹³ HFOs are not controlled under the Montreal Protocol and in many non-A5 countries the policies that are in place to govern the transition from HFCs incentivise the use of HFOs, alongside other lower-GWP alternatives.¹⁴ Considering this, it is unsurprising that during the past decade, production, consumption and emissions of HFOs have been increasing.¹⁵

Uptake of HFOs is encouraged by the idea that these are sustainable products, with little environmental impact. However, despite their low GWP and ODP values, this is not the case.

In fact, HFOs do contribute, indirectly, to climate change and ozone-depletion due to emissions of high-GWP and -ODP species during HFO production and atmospheric breakdown. They are also a source of PFAS pollution and come with other environmental, health and societal risks.

Table 1: Commonly used HFOs and HCFOs. See Appendix Table A1 for more detail.

HFO	Main uses	Production ¹⁶	Direct climate/ ozone impact ¹⁷	Atmospheric breakdown to HFC-23	PFAS ¹⁸
HFO-1234yf CF3CF=CH2	Refrigerant and HFC-HFO refrigerant blends (eg, R-454C, R-454A, R-455A, R-449A, R-513A) ¹⁹	Produced from HCFC-22 feedstock (ODP 0.038) (with byproducts including HFC-23 (GWP 14,700) and PFC-318 (GWP 10,600)) or from CTC (ODP 0.87) feedstock. ²⁰	GWP <1	No	Yes. TFA yield 100%.
HFO-1234ze(E) trans- CF3CH=CFH	Refrigerant and HFC-HFO refrigerant blends (eg, R-448A), ²¹ aerosol propellant, ²² and foam blowing agent ²³	Produced from vinyl chloride and CTC (ODP 0.87) feedstocks. ²⁴	GWP 1	Yes ²⁵	Yes. Estimated TFA yield 2%, with an upper limit of ~ 30%.
HFO-1336mzz isomers CF3CH=CHCF3	Refrigerant, ²⁶ fire supressant, ²⁷ and foam blowing agent ²⁸	Produced from CFC-113a feedstock (ODP 0.73). ²⁹	Cis/ Z isomer GWP 2, Trans/ E isomer GWP 26	Yes ³⁰	Yes. Estimated TFA yield 4%, with an upper limit of ~ 60%.
HCFO-1233zd(E) trans- CHCl=CHCF₃	Refrigerant, ³¹ foam blowing agent, ³² and precision solvent ³³	Produced from vinyl chloride and CTC (ODP 0.87) feedstocks. ³⁴	OPD <0.0004, GWP 4	Yes ³⁵	Yes. Estimated TFA yield 2%, with an upper limit of ~ 30%.
HFO-1132a ³⁶ CF2=CH2	Feedstock ³⁷ and refrigerant and HFC-HFO refrigerant blends (eg, R-468A, R468B, R-468C, R-473A) ³⁸	Produced from HCFC-142b (ODP 0.057), HCFC-132b (ODP 0.038), or HFC-143a (GWP 5,900). ³⁹	GWP <1	No	No

Increasing consumption, production and emissions

In the absence of public reporting requirements, it is extremely difficult to estimate global HFO production and consumption.

Nonetheless, the available data shows that as some parts of the world begin to move away from HFCs, the production, consumption and emissions of HFOs have been increasing, as have the number of HFO-related patents.⁴⁰

Consumption

To date, increases in consumption have predominantly occurred in non-A5 countries, such as the USA, Japan and Europe.⁴¹

In the USA alone, the HFO and HCFO market was estimated to be approximately 40 kilotonnes (kt) in 2021 and this is projected to more than double to 106kt in 2030.⁴²

The US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) noted that the lack of market data makes such projections challenging and that future demand will likely increase beyond what is reported or modelled.

Production

Production of HFOs has increased significantly since 2011, at which time the US was the only commercial producer.⁴³ In 2022, the US EPA identified a total of 16 HFO or HCFO production sites globally, located in the US, China, India and

Figure 2: HFO and HCFO production facilities identified in 2022 by the US EPA.⁵⁰



- Japan.⁴⁴ Most of these facilities are operated by, or in partnership with, US multinationals Arkema, Chemours and Honeywell (see Fig 2).⁴⁵
- Although HFOs and HCFOs comprise an estimated 26 per cent of the EU total supply of F-gases, there appears to be no production in the region, with the EU importing primarily from the US and China.⁴⁶
- HFO-1234yf accounts for the majority of global HFO production.⁴⁷ The main markets for HFO-1234yf are in Europe and the US, where it is widely used in refrigerant blends for stationary refrigeration and air-conditioning and, in its pure form, in mobile air-conditioning (MAC) for passenger vehicles.⁴⁸ As of 2023, HFO-1234yf use in the US has expanded to 97 per cent of new vehicles.⁴⁹

Emissions

- As production and use of HFOs and HCFOs has increased, so have emissions of these chemicals to the atmosphere.
- Their short atmospheric lifetime makes it challenging to use atmospheric measurements to estimate global emissions, but regional monitoring in Europe has demonstrated increased emissions of HFO-1234yf and HFO-1234ze(E).^{SI}

Indirect climate and ozone impacts

Production-related emissions

The production of many HFOs involves ODS and HFCs, which are used as feedstocks, process agents or intermediates, or which arise as byproducts (see Table 1). 52

The use of ODS and HFCs in the production of fluorochemicals such as HFOs and fluoropolymers is not controlled under the Montreal Protocol, but is coming under increased scrutiny from the parties based on concerns about growing feedstock- and production-related emissions.⁵³

These emissions can be significant and there are numerous evidenced cases of feedstock and byproduct emissions being underreported.⁵⁴

Despite this, discussions relating to the climate and ozone impacts of HFOs often ignore the contribution of production-related emissions to ozone layer depletion and increased radiative forcing.

Based on the available information concerning HFO production pathways, emissions of a variety of ODS (such as carbon tetrachloride (CTC), HCFC-22 or

CFC-113a) and high-GWP substances (such as HFC-23 or PFC-318) can be expected (see Table 1).⁵⁵ Of particular concern are emissions of CTC, an ODS (ODP = 0.87) used in the synthesis of many HFOs, including HFO-1234yf.⁵⁶

Increasing demand for HFOs has already contributed to a growth in CTC production in recent years⁵⁷ and, if demand continues to increase, this could result in sustained elevated atmospheric abundance of this damaging ODS.⁵⁹

Based on current publicly available information, it is not possible to accurately quantify the ozone and climate impacts of production-related ODS and HFC emissions. These emissions are not captured by ODP or GWP values and so a more holistic approach, such as lifecycle assessment (LCA), is required.

There are already some LCA studies on HFOs, most often in the form of lifecycle climate performance (LCCP) studies on heating or cooling systems.⁵⁹ Although LCCP studies should include refrigerant manufacturing emissions, most just refer to refrigerant manufacturing factors from earlier publications. The assumptions underlying these figures for refrigerant manufacturing emissions, such as which feedstocks were used or



Source: EIA



Lifecycle assessment

Quantification of the environmental impacts of HFOs and comparison with alternatives can be achieved using LCAs.⁶⁹ An LCA involves calculating the total emissions (direct and related to energy consumption) across the lifecycle of a product (ie, production, use and end-of-life, or the specific parts of the lifecycle within scope of the study). It often focuses on climate impacts but can also be used to determine other lifecycle environmental impacts such as ozone depletion.⁶⁴

For heating and cooling systems, a specific approach known as LCCP has been developed.

which emissions factors applied, are often unclear. In some instances, it is evident that they are outdated and do not reflect current manufacturing processes.⁶⁰

Up-to-date LCA studies on HFO production are needed and these should consider ozone depletion as well as climate metrics.⁶¹ Quantification is to some extent limited by lack of publicly available data on industrial processes, although estimated emission factors can give some indication of the emissions that might be expected. For example, the Montreal Protocol's Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) LCCP calculates the climate performance (e.g., kgCO₂ equivalents per kg product) by combining the direct emissions (refrigerant leaks during use and at end-of-life) and the indirect emissions (those associated with energy use, product disposal and production of the system and the refrigerant).⁶⁵

Poor data availability, stemming in large part from a lack of transparency and public information about F-gas production processes, is a key challenge for those carrying out LCA or LCCP for HFOs or HFO-based systems.⁶⁶

estimates that for the production, distribution and use of ODS and HFC feedstocks, emission factors are between 1.5-6.1 per cent, relative to the mass of feedstock produced.⁶²

Although further quantification is needed, the use of longer-lived, high-ODP and GWP substances in HFO production risks perpetuating production and emissions of these damaging fluorochemicals. The impacts of HFO production must, therefore, be considered when comparing HFOs to low-GWP, non-fluorinated alternatives.

Atmospheric breakdown

HFOs are emitted into the atmosphere at various points throughout their lifecycle (see Fig 3). Once in the atmosphere, they break down rapidly through reactions with other substances. The main route by which HFOs break down is reaction with hydroxyl (OH) radicals.⁶⁷

This reaction leads to the formation of fluorinated carbonyls and acids, such as trifluoroacetic acid (CF3COOH, TFA) (discussed in more detail below) and trifluoroacetaldehyde (CF3CHO). Trifluoroacetaldehyde, which is formed from HFOs such as HFO-1234ze(E) and HCFO-1233zd(E), as well as certain HFCs, undergoes further reactions.⁶⁸

Reaction products can include hydrogen fluoride (HF), CO₂ and TFA, but also the potent and long-lived GHG HFC-23. The formation of small amounts of HFC-23 from trifluoroacetaldehyde has been demonstrated in numerous laboratory studies.⁶⁹ Some HFOs also form HFC-23 via the direct reaction with ozone (O3), although this reaction is slow and accounts for only a minor portion of HFO breakdown.⁷⁰

Atmospheric breakdown of F-gases, including but not limited to HFOs, is estimated to release up to 215 tonnes of HFC-23 per year.⁷¹ The contribution from HFOs is uncertain, due to ongoing questions about the yield of HFC-23 production and the scale of HFO emissions, although it will increase as HFO emissions increase.

While atmospheric breakdown accounts for a very small portion of the current global HFC-23 emissions,⁷² any additional release into the atmosphere should be avoided given the high-GWP value for HFC-23 (GWP 14,700) and the urgency of the climate crisis.

For individual HFOs, the impact can be quantified through a GWP calculation which takes into account the effect of the HFC-23 formed.⁷³ Initial attempts to do this show that the formation of even small amounts of HFC-23 increases the GWP of HFOs (see Fig 4).⁷⁴

Further research is needed to quantify HFO emissions and refine the estimates for HFC-23 production, but also to investigate production of other ozone-depleting or climate-damaging substances from HFOs, HCFOs and HBFOs in the atmosphere.⁷⁵

Despite the ongoing uncertainties, what is known about the climate impacts of atmospheric breakdown of HFOs further undermines the notion that they are climatefriendly products.

Figure 4: Direct and indirect contribution to the GWP of HFO-1234ze(E). The indirect contribution is a coarse estimate from Thomson et al., which includes the impact of HFC-23 production.⁷⁶



Source: EIA





Other environmental and health impacts

HFO production

The fluorochemical industry is no stranger to scandals about the health impacts of its products and facilities.⁷⁷ Although to date less attention has been paid to the health impacts of F-gas production, the available evidence further supports the argument that F-gases should be avoided wherever feasible.

In 2022, a US EPA report highlighted the health risks for communities living near F-gas production facilities, including several facilities producing HFOs.⁷⁸

The health risks relate to emissions of toxic halogenated feedstocks and byproducts, such as CTC, HF and vinyl chloride. The US EPA also carried out an initial environmental justice analysis, which seemed to indicate increased cancer and respiratory risk in nearby communities.⁷⁹

In addition to the health risks associated with HFO production facilities, there are also environmental concerns relating to the mining of fluorite, the mineral which serves as the source of fluorine for the fluorochemical industry.⁸⁰

Contribution to persistent PFAS pollution

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) defines PFAS as substances which contain at least one fully fluorinated carbon atom (CF3 or CF₂) without any hydrogen, chlorine, bromine or iodine atoms attached.⁸¹ While various definitions of PFAS exist, some of which exclude F-gases,⁸² under the OECD definition, many HFOs are classified as PFAS (see Table 1).⁸³

PFAS are a diverse class of chemicals, with different chemical, physical and biological properties, but a common feature of all is that they are very persistent; they are, or they degrade into, substances which are chemically stable and thus remain in the environment for very long periods of time.⁸⁴ That is why they are often referred to as "forever chemicals".

Atmospheric breakdown of many common HFOs and some other F-gases results in the formation of the ultrashort chain PFAS TFA (see Table 1).⁸⁵ TFA in the atmosphere is deposited, for example, via precipitation, to ground level (see Fig 3). TFA is already the most abundant PFAS in the environment. It has been found in water bodies, remote ice cores, drinking water, food sources, wine and human bodies, and the levels found in the environment are rapidly increasing.⁸⁶

There is insufficient evidence to determine if a natural source of TFA exists or not,⁸⁷ but either way, this would not explain the increasing amounts found in the environment. Instead, it is clear that the rising levels of TFA are a result of significant anthropogenic emissions, with F-gases being a major source.⁸⁸

The most prolific HFO, HFO-1234yf, which is used widely in refrigeration and mobile air-conditioning, breaks down to TFA at 100 per cent yield, meaning it forms more TFA than the F-gases it replaces.⁸⁹ As a result, the transition from HFCs to HFOs will increase atmospheric formation of TFA and lead to higher levels in rainwater and water bodies.90

Total global consumption is also likely to increase in the future, making the F-gas contribution to the environmental TFA burden more significant.91 Projections in the Montreal Protocol's Scientific Assessment Panel (SAP) 2022 Assessment Report indicate that by 2050, consumption of HFC-134a and HFO-1234yf could lead to global TFA formation of 360-540kt per year and average TFA concentrations in precipitation of 660-970ng/L.92

The short atmospheric lifetime of HFOs means TFA will be deposited closer to the emissions source than longer-lived F-gases and so some industrialised or highly populated areas will experience environmental concentrations significantly higher than the global average.93

TFA is very mobile in the environment and, like other PFAS, very persistent.⁹⁴ It is known to phytoaccumulate in plants and although it does not bioaccumulate in mammals in the way longer chain PFAS do, it has been detected at high levels in human blood.95

Why is persistence a problem?

As a result of increasing emissions, and due to its A perfect example of the problem of persistence is the environment. As environmental toxicological effects, but there is also a risk from so far unknown effects, such as harm caused by chronic low dose exposure or unique effects occurring from mixtures.¹⁰⁷

There is always uncertainty in the prediction of adverse effects from chemicals and this is a particular issue for persistent substances such as TFA, where pollution is essentially irreversible. If it becomes apparent that TFA does have adverse environmental or health effects, these impacts cannot simply be halted by ceasing emissions, because the TFA already been emitted will remain in the environment for a long time.¹⁰

environmental contamination with the persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic PFAS perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA). PFOA was widely used in applications such as waterproof clothing for many years, but was phased out after evidence of its health and environmental impacts came to light.¹⁰⁴

However, due to its persistence, PFOA contamination in the environment is still a it has now become clear that fluorochemical producers suppressed evidence about the toxic nature of PFOA for decades.¹⁰



The available evidence indicates low levels of toxicity at environmental concentrations,⁹⁶ although there is evidence of reproductive toxicity at higher concentrations,⁹⁷ and there are crucial gaps in the evidence, for example, around the effects of chronic exposure.98

The Montreal Protocol's Environmental Effects Assessment Panel (EEAP) has concluded that TFA poses a negligible risk to human health and the environment.⁹⁹ However, many scientists disagree, arguing that even in the absence of evidence of toxicity, persistence alone is a significant cause for concern.¹⁰ The recent 2024 EEAP update does not reflect the ongoing scientific debate around TFA, particularly with respect to its persistence.¹⁰¹

Despite the concerns about TFA raised by many in the scientific community, the fluorochemical industry continues to promote the narrative that TFA is a safe,

naturally occurring substance and thus HFOs pose no risk in this regard.¹⁰⁷ It often omits any reference to unfavourable evidence or scientific interpretations that would bring this into question.¹⁰⁸ Many papers on this topic have been written, co-written or funded by the fluorochemical industry.¹⁰⁹

The reality is that there are different interpretations and opinions within the scientific community on the issue of HFOs and PFAS, and more research into TFA and the consequences of the transition to HFOs is clearly required, alongside more monitoring of TFA in the environment.

However, HFOs are a source of irreversible pollution that can be avoided by a transition to non-fluorinated alternatives, and the need for more research must not prevent immediate action. A precautionary approach, which restricts the use of HFOs and avoids unnecessary risks to people and the environment, is required.



Economic, trade and governance issues

Cost and availability

To some extent, the uptake of HFOs has been hampered by high costs and supply problems.¹¹⁰

HFOs tend to be more expensive than HFCs and HCFCs.¹¹¹ This is partly because they are still in the earlier stages of commercialisation, but they also require more complex and therefore more expensive production processes.¹¹¹

HFOs are typically the patented products of large multinational chemical companies,¹¹³ which also means that a few powerful stakeholders control production and price. This raises an ethical consideration for governments and members of the Executive Committee of the Montreal Protocol's Multilateral Fund (MLF) over whether expensive patented F-gases, the production of

which is dominated by just a few multinational companies, should be promoted when alternatives are available.

Adoption of HFOs has been explored in a number of Kigali Implementation Plans (KIPs) and MLF-funded projects.¹¹⁴ In several cases where HFOs were approved under HCFC phase-out projects, they were found to be prohibitively expensive or too difficult to obtain, and in some cases this caused manufacturing sectors which had planned to transition to HFO-based technologies to instead revert to high-GWP HFCs.¹¹⁵

HFC-HFO blends

HFOs are often used in blends with HFCs to achieve the properties required for use. This prolongs the production and use of high-GWP species, but refrigerant blends also impact on the management of the refrigeration equipment, including its efficiency.

Blends pose additional challenges in the recovery and recycling of the refrigerant.¹¹⁶ Refrigerant leaks also present greater challenges when blends are used, due to changes in composition of the remaining refrigerant that may affect its properties and performance.¹¹ This could lead to system owners needing to purge and refill their systems, increasing costs and the potential for emissions.¹¹⁸

Finally, there are also concerns about the quality of refrigerant blends and changes to their composition when they are moved from large isotanks to small containers used in the servicing sector, which could have significant impacts on the efficiency of the equipment using them.¹¹⁰

designed for HFOs or blends.

Refrigeration and HFC industry representatives have warned that Europe is being flooded with illegal HFC-404A (GWP 3,922) falsely marketed as HFO blends such as R-449A (GWP 1,504) and R-448A (GWP 1,497).¹²¹ Smugglers are exploiting the fact that EU regulations are driving demand for expensive HFO blends and are offering these counterfeit refrigerants at much lower prices.

HFCs are also being smuggled into the EU through mislabelling as HFOs to avoid detection at customs.¹²² HFOs benefit from reduced customs checks as they are not subject to a quota, as HFCs are, under the 2024 EU F-gas Regulation.

The lack of regulation over HFOs is a major problem for enforcement agencies that cannot check and test every shipment of HFOs.

Above: Illegal HFC-404A mislabelled as HFO-1234yf.



Alternatives to HFOs

The transition from HCFCs and HFCs is of utmost importance, but the uptake of HFOs can be avoided by instead replacing technologies using HFCs with not-inkind technologies or those which use non-fluorinated alternatives, such as ammonia, CO₂, hydrocarbons and inert gases (e.g., nitrogen) (see Table 2). Although there is no one-size-fits-all solution, non-fluorinated aerosol propellants, solvents, foam blowing agents, fire suppressants and refrigerants are already available for most F-gas applications.¹²³ In fact, non-fluorinated alternatives already dominate in some sectors, where the transition to non-fluorinated substances was made as controlled ODS were phased out.¹²⁴ In cooling and other heat transfer applications, non-fluorinated alternatives are often referred to as natural refrigerants.¹²⁵ Examples of businesses and other end users opting for natural refrigerants can be found at CoolTechnologies.org.

Natural refrigerants are widely used in heat pumps, domestic refrigeration systems, industrial cooling and commercial refrigeration, especially in the European Union, where legislation has mandated a faster, and total, HFC phase-out.¹²⁶

Above: R-290 Heat Pump on Display at Chilventa 2024.

The 2024 EU F-gas Regulation includes a complete ban on F-gas use in some product categories (eg domestic refrigeration from 2026, small (≤12kW) chillers from 2032 and small split air-conditioning from 2035), which will restrict the use of HFOs in certain products and so drive uptake of natural refrigerants.¹²⁷

Non-fluorinated substances avoid many of the issues associated with HFOs (see Table 2). As well as having very low GWP values and not depleting the ozone layer, they are not a source of persistent PFAS pollution. They are not derived from high-GWP or -ODP halogenated substances and available evidence indicates that production of non-fluorinated substances such as ammonia and propane is significantly less emissionsintensive than the production of HFOs.¹³¹

Despite their many benefits, natural refrigerants and other non-fluorinated alternatives to F-gases do come with their own limitations and challenges, for example with regard to flammability or toxic properties.¹³² Such properties must be considered when choosing the nonfluorinated substance for a particular application, but in some cases there are ways to mitigate the risks. For example, it has been shown that safety issues relating to refrigerant flammability can be effectively mitigated in

Table 2: Examples of non-fluorinated/ natural refrigerant alternatives to F-gases. See Appendix Table A1 for more detail.

HFO	Uses	Production	Climate/ozone impact ¹²⁸
Ammonia	Refrigerant (R-717)	Haber Bosch process combines nitrogen with fossil fuel derived hydrogen	GWP <<1, ODP 0
CO2	Refrigerant (R-744), foam blowing agent, fire suppressant, and aerosol propellant	Byproduct of industrial processes	GWP 1, ODP 0
Isobutane	Refrigerant (R-600a)	From butane, a byproduct of fossil fuel refining	GWP <<1, ODP 0
Propane	Refrigerant (R-290) and aerosol propellant	Byproduct of fossil fuel refining	GWP 0.02 (indirect contributions increase GWP to ~10), ¹²⁹ ODP 0
Cyclopentane	Foam blowing agent	Naphtha cracking	GWP <<1, ODP 0
Water	Refrigerant (R-718), foam blowing agent and fire suppressant	-	GWP 0.0005, ¹³⁰ ODP 0
Nitrogen	Ultra-low temperature refrigerant (R-728), foam blowing agent, fire suppressant and aerosol propellant	Fractionation of liquid air	GWP 0, ODP 0
Air	Refrigerant (R-729) and aerosol propellant	-	GWP 0, ODP 0

many heat transfer applications through good product design, updated safety standards and a well-trained installation and maintenance workforce.¹³³

Opportunities to minimise demand, even for nonfluorinated alternatives, should be considered where available. This can be pursued through system or behaviour change, or the uptake of not-in-kind solutions. For example, replacing metered dose inhalers with dry-powder inhalers can reduce demand for aerosol propellants.¹³⁴

Similarly, passive cooling reduces the cooling load, minimising demand for both refrigerants and energy. Passive cooling can be achieved through innovative building design and construction as well as by incorporating shade and trees into urban environments. It can reduce temperatures in buildings and urban environments, even in hot climates, and could decrease projected growth in cooling demand by 24 per cent by 2050.¹³⁵

Tackling emissions from cooling requires a holistic approach, of which non-fluorinated refrigerants and passive cooling must be part (see Fig 5).

Fluorochemical industry push-back on F-gas alternatives

The growing interest in natural refrigerants and other non-fluorinated alternatives to F-gases is a significant threat to fluorochemical industry profits.

Unsurprisingly, the industry has pushed back, muddying the water with false claims and questioning the sustainability and viability of alternatives.¹³⁶ HFOs are promoted as sustainable alternatives, even in some sectors where the transition to non-fluorinated alternatives has been successfully achieved. For example, in Canada, where approximately 93 per cent of ice rinks use non-fluorinated refrigerants, HFO blends such as R-513A (GWP 647) and R-449A (GWP 1,504) were misleadingly marketed as suitable replacements.¹³⁷

Energy efficiency of natural refrigerant systems

The energy efficiency of heating and cooling systems is very important because emissions related to energy use often dominate the lifecycle emissions.¹³⁸

The fluorochemical industry often claims that natural refrigerants are less efficient than HFOs.¹³⁹ However, some natural refrigerants, such as hydrocarbons and ammonia, actually have very favourable thermodynamic properties and can outperform F-gases in terms of energy efficiency.¹⁴⁰ In any case, refrigerant choice generally has only a small impact on energy efficiency.¹⁴¹ Of greater importance is the system design and configuration, which must be optimised for the refrigerant in question, and the effectiveness of routine cleaning and maintenance of the system.¹⁴²

There are numerous examples demonstrating that well-designed heating or cooling systems using natural refrigerants can achieve good energy efficiency in a range of applications.¹⁴³ The transition from HFCs to natural refrigerants can come with energy efficiency improvements, even with CO₂, where the low critical temperature (about 31°C) poses challenges in high ambient temperature countries.¹⁴⁴

Carrefour, the world's second-largest retailer, has reported a 7-25 per cent increase in energy efficiency after replacing F-gas systems with CO₂ refrigeration.¹⁴⁵



Source: EIA



Implementing the transition away from F-gases

The transition away from HFCs and HCFCs is driven by commitments under the Montreal Protocol and the national regulations that countries put in place to meet those commitments.

HFOs are not controlled under the Montreal Protocol and in many cases are indirectly incentivised, rather than controlled, by national F-gas regulations.¹⁴⁶

Additional measures will be required if countries are to transition away from all F-gases, including HFOs. This could take the form of demand-side action to encourage use of non-fluorinated alternatives and not-in-kind solutions (such as incentives or public procurement policies), measures to enable safe use of non-fluorinated alternatives in more applications (such as safety standards or training) or policies that limit the use of HFOs (such as bans on HFO-based equipment).

Some examples are found in the updated 2024 EU F-gas Regulation, which includes a world-first HFC phase-out but also mandatory training and certification of technicians on natural refrigerants and a complete ban on F-gas use (including HFOs) in some product categories in the future.¹⁴⁷

Some HFOs may also be subject to control under PFAS regulations in future; class-based approaches to PFAS regulation are being explored in the EU, Canada and some US states.¹⁴⁸

In A5 countries, the approach is to some extent influenced by the assistance received through the MLF.

To date, the MLF has approved a number of KIPs that rely on HFOs and funded several HFO-based projects. The MLF could instead prioritise the transition away from all F-gases and support a strategic approach to the HFC phase-down which would enable A5 countries to leapfrog directly to non-fluorinated alternatives and

- non-in-kind solutions, thus limiting the number of transitions required.
- Funding could be prioritised for projects based on nonfluorinated alternatives or not-in-kind solutions, or additional funding incentives could be offered for countries proposing to leapfrog to these technologies in their KIPs.
- So far, this approach has been avoided because the Executive Committee of the MLF is traditionally guided by a principle of "technology neutrality". However, the precautionary principle is a cornerstone of the Montreal Protocol and in this context a "technology neutral" approach should consider the climate and ozone impacts of HFOs and the contribution to irreversible PFAS pollution, as well as the challenges faced by A5 parties in terms of supply, cost and availability.
- Currently, the MLF is funding conversions in developing countries from HCFCs and HFCs to noncontrolled substances. The MLF typically funds the incremental capital costs and operating costs of the conversions, but operating costs are usually only funded for one or two years.¹⁴⁹
- While the upfront capital costs of a conversion to a natural refrigerant may be higher than the HFO alternative which has been designed to be closer to the HFC it is replacing, the ongoing operating costs, which quickly become the responsibility of the developing country, are usually much lower.

Above: View of the room at MoP35.



Conclusion and recommendations

Despite claims that HFOs are environmentally friendly products, they contribute to persistent chemical pollution and come with both climate and ozone risks.

HFOs also prolong the production and use of high-GWP HFCs and complicate enforcement. The successful phase-out of HCFCs and HFCs must be achieved, but the availability of non-fluorinated alternatives to F-gases in many sectors provides an opportunity to break the pattern of transitioning from one generation of F-gases to another.

Some of the tactics and arguments being used to promote HFOs echo those made prior to the phase-out of previous generations of F-gases or other PFAS.¹⁵⁰ Unfavourable evidence is being questioned or ignored, lack of consensus about the risks is being used to delay action and the discussion is distorted by misleading or exaggerated claims about the sustainability or viability of alternatives.

There are evidence gaps and uncertainties and more research is urgently needed, but this must not be used as a reason to delay action.

EIA urges all governments and parties to the Montreal Protocol to apply the precautionary principle wherever possible, avoiding the use of all F-gases, including HFOs, to avoid unnecessary risks to people and the planet.

Recommendations for national and regional governments

- Implement ambitious policies to restrict the use of F-gases, including HFOs, where viable not-in-kind technologies or technologies based on non-fluorinated alternatives exist
- Implement policies and demand-side measures to encourage and enable the use of non-fluorinated alternatives to F-gases and not-in-kind solutions. This can include advancing adoption of standards and codes and aligning market-based incentives such as ecolabels, green building standards and equipment rebates
- Adopt a class-based approach to PFAS regulation and phase out the use of all PFAS, including F-gases and TFA precursors

- Expand mandatory reporting on F-gases to encompass HFOs
- Include HFOs within licensing systems covering HFCs and encourage their consideration in risk profiling by customs and other enforcement agencies
- Crack down on corporate greenwashing and misleading claims about the sustainability of F-gases compared to non-fluorinated alternatives
- Support independent scientific research into the environmental and health impacts of HFOs, more robust lifecycle accounting of production-related and other upstream emissions and research that will support the safe and sustainable use of non-fluorinated alternatives and not-in-kind solutions

Recommendations for Parties to the Montreal Protocol

- Request additional information on the environmental impacts of HFOs and availability of alternatives from TEAP, SAP and EEAP in their 2026 Assessments and encourage a co-ordinated and evidence-based approach across the panels
- Ensure that the TEAP, SAP and EEAP include representatives with appropriate expertise to address the issue of PFAS and TFA. To ensure transparency, up-to-date and detailed disclosure of interest declarations should be available for all members of all assessment panels

- Reexamine the feedstock exemption and take steps to address production-related emissions of high-GWP and -ODP controlled substances, including those emitted during the manufacture of HFOs
- Include HFOs in best-practice licensing systems and encourage voluntary reporting of consumption and use across sectors
- Prioritise MLF funding for projects transitioning directly to non-fluorinated alternatives or not-in-kind solutions and initiate discussions on potential demand-side measures that can be funded by the MLF to incentivise clean cooling solutions

Recommendations for private sector/manufacturers and end users

- Adopt non-fluorinated alternatives or equipment transition plans where equipment is already demonstrated and commercially available
- Engage with policymakers and other industry stakeholders, in particular the installation and servicing sector, to work toward removing market barriers to adoption of non-fluorinated alternative equipment in regions or applications where options are limited
- Support research and transparency into lifecycle impacts of HFO and HCFO alternatives in corporate accountability reports and greenhouse gas accounting standards, particularly full Scope 3 accounting for HFO and HFC production emissions of high-GWP feedstocks and byproducts.

Appendix

Table A1: Commonly used HFOs and non-fluorinated alternatives.

Substance	Main uses	Production	Direct climate/ ozone impact ¹⁵¹	Atmospheric breakdown to HFC-23	PFAS ¹⁵²	Refrigerant safety classification ¹⁵³
HFOs						
HFO-1234yf CF ₃ CF=CH ₂	Refrigerant ¹⁵⁴ eg, mobile vehicle air-conditioning (particularly passenger vehicles, some light commercial), and refrigerated transport containers and in HFC-HFO refrigerant blends (eg, R-454C, R-454A, R-455A, R-449A, R-513A)	Produced from HCFC-22 feedstock (ODP 0.038) (with byproducts including HFC-23 (GWP 14,700) and PFC-318 (GWP 10,600) or from CTC (ODP 0.87) feedstock. ¹⁵⁵	GWP <1	No	Yes. TFA yield 100%.	A2L - lower flammability
HFO-1234ze(E) trans- CF₃CH=CFH	Foam blowing agent ¹⁵⁶ Refrigerant ¹⁵⁷ eg, industrial/ commercial refrigeration and heat pumps, and in HFC-HFO refrigerant blends (eg, R-448A) Aerosol propellant ¹⁵⁸ eg, technical and consumer aerosols, in HFC-HFO blends and being developed for MDIs	Produced from vinyl chloride and CTC (ODP 0.87) feedstocks. ¹⁵⁹	GWP 1	Yes ¹⁶⁰	Yes. Estimated TFA yield 2%, with an upper limit of ~ 30%.	A2L - lower flammability
HFO-1336mzz isomers CF ₃ CH=CHCF ₃	Refrigerant¹⁶¹ eg, higher temperature heat pumps, chillers Fire extinguisher¹⁶² Foam blowing agent¹⁶³ eg, insulation	Produced from CFC-113a feedstock (ODP 0.73). ¹⁶⁴	Cis/ Z isomer GWP 2, Trans/ E isomer GWP 26	Yes ¹⁶⁵	Yes. Estimated TFA yield 4%, with an upper limit of ~ 60%.	A1 - No flame propagation
HCFO-1233zd(E) trans-CHCl=CHCF3	Foam blowing agent ¹⁶⁶ eg, insulation Refrigerant ¹⁶⁷ eg, industrial chillers and waste heat recovery Precision solvent ¹⁶⁸ eg, in aerosols and as a cleaning agent	Produced from vinyl chloride and CTC (ODP 0.87) feedstocks. ¹⁶⁹	OPD <0.0004, GWP 4	Yes ¹⁷⁰	Yes. Estimated TFA yield 2%, with an upper limit of ~ 30%.	A1 - No flame propagation
HFO-1132a CF2=CH2	Feedstock eg, monomer used in fluoropolymer production such as for polyvinyldene fluoride (PVDF) Refrigerant ^{iπ} eg, in HFC-HFO refrigerant blends, including for ultralow temperature refrigeration (eg, R-468A, R468B, R-468C, R-473A)	Produced from HCFC-142b (ODP 0.057), HCFC-132b (ODP 0.038), or HFC-143a (GWP 5,900). ¹⁷²	GWP <1	No	No	A2 - flammable
Non-fluorinated subs	tances					
Ammonia	Refrigerant (R-717) ¹⁷³ eg, industrial and commercial refrigeration, ultra-low and low temperature freezers, district heating, data centres, chillers, ice rinks, industrial heat pumps	Haber Bosch process combines nitrogen with fossil fuel-derived hydrogen.	GWP <<1, ODP 0	No	No	B2L - lower flammability, higher toxicity
CO2	Refrigerant (R-744) ¹⁷⁴ eg, industrial and commercial refrigeration, MAC for light duty vehicles and buses, transport refrigeration, chillers and waste heat recovery, combo (space conditioning and water heating) systems, air-conditioning, district heating, data centres, chillers, ice rinks, industrial heat pumps Foam blowing agent ¹⁷⁵ Fire suppression ¹⁷⁷⁵ Metal production ¹⁷⁷⁷ Aerosol propellant ¹⁷⁸	Byproduct of industrial processes.	GWP 1, ODP 0	No	No	A1 - No flame propagation
Isobutane	Refrigerant (R-600a) ¹⁷⁹ eg, domestic refrigeration, commercial refrigeration, chillers, heat pumps	From butane, a byproduct of fossil fuel refining.	GWP <<1, ODP 0	No	No	A3 - higher flammability
Propane	Refrigerant (R-290)¹⁸⁰ eg, air-conditioning, heat pumps, chillers, commercial refrigeration, transport refrigeration, ultra-low and low temperature freezers Aerosol propellant¹⁸¹	Byproduct of fossil fuel refining.	GWP 0.02, ODP 0	No, but other indirect contributions increase GWP to ~10. ¹⁸²	No	A3 - higher flammability
Cyclopentane	Foam blowing agent ¹⁸³	Naphtha cracking ¹⁸⁴	GWP <<1, ODP 0	No	No	Flammable, but no refrigerant safety classification
Water	Refrigerant (R-718) ¹⁸⁵ eg, air-Conditioning, commercial and industrial refrigeration Foam blowing agent ¹⁸⁶ Fire suppressant ¹⁸⁷	-	GWP 0.0005, ¹⁸⁸ ODP 0	No	No	A1 - No flame propagation
Nitrogen	Refrigerant (R-728) ¹⁸⁹ eg, ultra-low temperature/cryogenics and blends Foam blowing agent ¹⁹⁰ Fire suppressant ¹⁹¹ Aerosol propellant ¹⁹²	Fractionation of liquid air	GWP 0, ODP 0	No	No	A1 - No flame propagation
Air	Refrigerant (R-729) ¹⁹³ eg, ultra-low temperature Aerosol propellant ¹⁹⁴	-	GWP 0, ODP 0	No	No	-

References

- 1. United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), 1987. The Montreal protocol on substances that deplete the ozone layer. Article 2: control measures and annex A: controlled substances. Available here.
- 2. Western, L.M., et al. A decrease in radiative forcing and equivalent effective chlorine from hydrochlorofluorocarbons. Nature Climate Change, 14, 805-807 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-02038-7.
- 3. GWP values used in this briefing are all GWP100, which is the Global Warming Potential over a 100-year timeline. GWP and ODP values throughout this report are from the following reference unless otherwise stated: WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion.
- 4. United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), 2020. Handbook for the Montreal protocol. Available here.
- 5. Western et al. (2024), A decrease in radiative forcing and equivalent effective chlorine from hydrochlorofluorocarbons. Ibid WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid.
- 6. Opteon, 2022. HFOs: What You Need To Know. Available here. [Accessed May 2025]. Honeywell, 2012. Low GWP hydrofluoroolefins (HFO) Reducing the impact on climate change. Available here. [Accessed May 2025]. Opteon, 2023. F-gases: A Critical Climate Solution. Available here. [Accessed June 2025].
- 7. Burkholder, J.B., et al. Atmospheric Degradation of Ozone Depleting Substances, Their Substitutes, and Related Species. Chemical Reviews, 2015, 115, 10, 3704-3759. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5006759.
- 8. VSLS are chlorinated, brominated, or iodinated substances with 17. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* atmospheric lifetimes of less than six months. Though their compared to longer-lived species, it has become apparent that their impacts can vary according to when and where emissions occur. Some such variation has been demonstrated for HBFO-1233xfB (also referred to as 2-BTP), but EIA is not aware of research that examines if such effects occur or are significant for other HCFOs or HBFOs.

WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid. Burkholder et al. (2015), Atmospheric Degradation of Ozone Depleting Substances, Their Substitutes, and Related Species. Ibid.

Patten, K.O., et al. OH reaction rate constant, IR absorption spectrum, ozone depletion potentials and global warming potentials of 2-bromo-3,3,3-trifluoropropene. Journal Of Geophysical Research, 2011, 116, D24307. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016518.

9. Sicard, A. J., Baker, R.T. Fluorocarbon Refrigerants and their Syntheses: Past to Present. Chemical Reviews, 2020, 120, 17, 9164-9303. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00719.

- 10. Refrigeration, Air Conditioning and Heat Pumps Technical Options Committee (RTOC), 2022. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Available here.
- 11. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 12. Flexible and Rigid Foams Technical Options Committee (FTOC), 2022. FTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Available here. Medical and Chemical Technical Options Committee (MCTOC), 2022. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Available here. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 2023. Assessment of the Performance of Hydrofluoroolefins, Hydrochlorofluoroolefins, and Halogen-Free Foam Blowing Agents in Cellular Plastic Foams. Available here.

Zhang, H., et al. Thermal Decomposition Mechanism and Fire-Extinguishing Performance of trans-1,1,1,4,4,4-Hexafluoro-2butene: A Potential Candidate for Halon Substitutes. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 2020, 124, 28, 5944-5953. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.0c04036.

- 13. UNEP, 2020. Handbook for the Montreal protocol. Ibid.
- 14. European Commission, 2024. F-gas Regulation (EU) 2024/573. Available here. [Accessed May 2025]. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Background on HFCs and the AIM Act. Available here. [Accessed May 2025].

15. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid. Technology and Economic Assessment Panel of the Montreal Protocol (TEAP), 2024. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Available here.

Clean Energy Manufacturing Analysis Centre (CEMAC), 2020. Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Available here.

- 16. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- short atmospheric lifetime limits their ozone depletion potential 18. According to the OECD definition outlined in the following paper: Wang, Z. et al. A New OECD Definition for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances. Environmental Science and Technology, 2021;55(23):15575-15578.

https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c06896

For TFA formation see: Environmental Effects Assessment Panel (EEAP), 2022. Environmental Effects of Stratospheric Ozone Depletion, UV Radiation, and Interactions with Climate Change. Available here.

Appendix SI 4 Estimated molar yields (%) of TFA from ODS replacements.

19. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 2024. The 2024 EPA Automotive Trends Report: Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Fuel Economy, and Technology since 1975. Available here. [Accessed May 2025]. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid.

- 20. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid. Sherry, et al. HFO-1234vf: An Examination of Projected Long-Term Costs of Production. 2017. Available here
- 21. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid.
- 22. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid.
- 23. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid.
- 24. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Ibid.
- 25. Scientific Assessment Panel of the Montreal Protocol (SAP), 2024. Report of the Scientific Assessment Panel in response to Decision XXXV/7: Emissions of HFC-23. Available here
- 26. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid. CEMAC (2020). Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid. Giménez-Prades, P., et al. Novel molecules as working fluids for refrigeration, heat pump and organic Rankine cycle systems. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 167, 2022, 112549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112549 United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 2025. Revision to the Regulatory Definition of Volatile Organic Compounds. Available here. [Accessed May 2025].
- 27. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid.
- 28. WMO (2022). Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid.
- 29. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 30. Report of the Scientific Assessment Panel in response to Decision XXXV/7: Emissions of HFC-23. Ibid.
- 31. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid. CEMAC (2020). US EPA (2025), Revision to the Regulatory Definition of Volatile Organic Compounds. Ibid.
- 32. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid.
- 33. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 34. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Ibid.
- 35. Report of the Scientific Assessment Panel in response to Decision XXXV/7: Emissions of HFC-23. Ibid.
- 36. Commonly referred to as vinylidene difluoride (VDF).
- 37. European Environment Agency (EEA), 2021. Fluorinated polymers in a low carbon, circular and toxic-free economy Technical report. Available here.

Anderson, S.O., et al. Narrowing feedstock exemptions under the Montreal Protocol has multiple environmental benefits. Environmental Sciences, 2021, 118 (49) e2022668118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2022668118 MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.

- 38. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 39. EEA (2021), Fluorinated polymers in a low carbon, circular and toxic-free economy Technical report. Ibid. Anderson et al. (2021), Narrowing feedstock exemptions under the Montreal Protocol has multiple environmental benefits. Ibid. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 40. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Ibid. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid.
- 41. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment, Ibid. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Ibid. Institute for Governance & Sustainable Development (IGSD), 2023 Global Number of Vehicles Using HFO-1234vf Refrigerant. Available here European Environment Agency (EEA), 2024. ETC CM report 2024/05: Fluorinated greenhouse gases 2024. Available here. [Accessed June 2025].
- 42. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 2022. Global Overview of HCFC, HFC, and HFO/HCFO Production. Available here. [Accessed May 2025].
- 43. US EPA (2022), Global Overview of HCFC, HFC, and HFO/HCFO Production. Ibid.
- 44. US EPA (2022), Global Overview of HCFC, HFC, and HFO/HCFO Production. Ibid.
- 45. US EPA (2022), Global Overview of HCFC, HFC, and HFO/HCFO Production. Ibid. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid.
- 46. EEA (2024), ETC CM report 2024/05: Fluorinated greenhouse gases 2024. Ibid. EIA analysis of data from the European Commission Eurostat Data Browser found that in 2024, the EU imported 18,401 tonnes of HFO-1234yf, HFO-1234ze and HFO-1336mzz, mostly from the US and China. Data available here. [Accessed May 2025].
- 47. US EPA (2022), Global Overview of HCFC, HFC, and HFO/HCFO Production. Ibid.
- 48. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. Ibid. US EPA (2024), The 2024 EPA Automotive Trends Report: Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Fuel Economy, and Technology since 1975. Ibid.
- 49. US EPA (2024), The 2024 EPA Automotive Trends Report: Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Fuel Economy, and Technology since 1975. Ibid.

- 50. US EPA (2022), Global Overview of HCFC, HFC, and HFO/HCFO Production. *Ibid.*
- 51. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid*.
- 52. A feedstock is a substance from which other chemicals are derived in manufacturing processes. A process agent is a substance used to modify a chemical reaction, for example a substance added to increase yield or control the physical properties of the product being formed. An intermediate is a substance formed from the feedstock, which is further converted to yield the desired product. A byproduct is a substance formed alongside the desired product in a chemical manufacturing process.
- 53. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2023. UNEP/OzL.Pro.35/12/Add.1. Decisions adopted by the Thirty-Fifth Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer. Decision XXXV/8: Feedstock uses. <u>Available here</u>.

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2024. Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties to the Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer at its thirteenth meeting and by the Thirty-Sixth Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer. Decision XXXVI/5: Feedstock uses of controlled substances. <u>Available here</u>.

54. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* Report of the Scientific Assessment Panel in response to Decision XXXV/7: Emissions of HFC-23. *Ibid.* Mühle, J., *et al.* Perfluorocyclobutane (PFC-318, c-C4F8) in the global atmosphere. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 19, 10335–10359, 2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10335-2019</u>. Sherry, D., *et al.* Current sources of carbon tetrachloride (CCl4) in our atmosphere. Environmental Research Letters. 2018, 13 024004. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa9c87</u>. Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), 2022. Chemical Nightmare – Ending emissions of fluorochemical greenhouse gases. <u>Available here</u>.

Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), 2023. F-Gases at the Fenceline: Exposing The Fluorochemical Production Sector's Undisclosed Emissions. <u>Available here</u>.

- 55. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). *Ibid.* Sherry *et al.* (2018), Current sources of carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) in our atmosphere. *Ibid.* Sicard *et al.* (2020). Fluorocarbon Refrigerants and their Syntheses: Past to Present. *Ibid.*
- TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). *Ibid.* WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.*
- TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). *Ibid.* United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), 2022.
 UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/90/9/Add.1. Status reports and reports on projects with specific reporting requirements. <u>Available here</u>.
- 58. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid.
- Wang, F., You, T. Comparative analysis on the life cycle climate performance of ground source heat pump using alternative refrigerants. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering, 42, 2023, 102761. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2023.102761</u>. Wan, H., *et al.* A comprehensive review of life cycle climate

performance (LCCP) for air conditioning systems. International Journal of Refrigeration. 2021, 130 187-198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2021.06.026. Aprea, C., *et al.* HFO1234yf as a Drop-in Replacement for R134a in Domestic Refrigerators: A Life Cycle Climate Performance Analysis. International journal of heat and technology. 3, 2 S212-218. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijht.34S204. International Institute of Refrigeration (IIR), Life Cycle Climate Performance Working Group, 2016. Guideline for Life Cycle Climate Performance. <u>Available here</u>. Höges, C., *et al.* Choosing the Optimal Refrigerant in Heat Pumps: Influence of the Ecologic Evaluation Method. Applied Thermal Engineering. Volume 263, 2025.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2024.125313.

- 60. For example, a 2013 study from the International Council on Clean Transportation found that production of HFO-1234yf resulted in emissions of 13.5 kg CO₂e per kg product, 10.4 kg CO₂e of which was from climate-forcing chemicals released during production and the rest of which related to energy use. However, the study was carried out over 10 years ago and is based on HFO-1234yf production from CTFE, not HCFC-22 or CTC, which are now reportedly the main sources of HFO-1234yf production. It also gives no indication of the ozone impacts of HFO-1234yf production. See: The International Council on Clean Transportation, 2013. Upstream climate impacts from production of R-134a and R-1234yf refrigerants used in mobile air conditioning systems. <u>Available here</u>.
- van den Oever, A.E.M., *et al.* Revisiting the challenges of ozone depletion in life cycle assessment. Cleaner Environmental Systems. Volume 13 (2024) 100196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cesys.2024.100196.

62. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Ibid.

- 63. Wan *et al.* 2021), A comprehensive review of life cycle climate performance (LCCP) for air conditioning systems. *Ibid.*
- 64. Wan *et al.* (2021), A comprehensive review of life cycle climate performance (LCCP) for air conditioning systems. *Ibid.*Höges *et al.* (2025), Choosing the Optimal Refrigerant in Heat Pumps: Influence of the Ecologic Evaluation Method. *Ibid.*
- 65. Wan *et al.* (2021), A comprehensive review of life cycle climate performance (LCCP) for air conditioning systems. *Ibid.* van den Oever *et al.* (2024), Revisiting the challenges of ozone depletion in life cycle assessment. *Ibid.*
- 66. Wan *et al.* (2021), A comprehensive review of life cycle climate performance (LCCP) for air conditioning systems. *Ibid.*
- 67. Burkholder *et al.* (2015), Atmospheric Degradation of Ozone Depleting Substances, Their Substitutes, and Related Species. *Ibid.*
- 68. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* Thomson, J.D., *et al.* Fluoroform (CHF3) Production from CF3CHO Photolysis and Implications for the Decomposition of Hydrofluoroolefins and Hydrochlorofluoroolefins in the Atmosphere. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2025, 147, 1, 33–38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.4c11776</u>.
 Van Hoomissen, D., *et al.* CHF3 (HFC-23) and CF3CHO Quantum Yields in the Pulsed Laser Photolysis of CF3CHO at 248, 266, 281, and 308 nm. ACS Earth and Space Chemistry. 2025, 9, 3, 589– 602. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.4c00316</u>.

- 69. Thomson *et al.* (2024), Fluoroform (CHF3) Production from CF3CHO Photolysis and Implications for the Decomposition of Hydrofluoroolefins and Hydrochlorofluoroolefins in the Atmosphere. *Ibid.*Van Hoomissen *et al.* (2025), CHF3 (HFC-23) and CF3CHO Quantum Yields in the Pulsed Laser Photolysis of CF3CHO at 248, 266, 281, and 308 nm. *Ibid.*
- 70. McGillen, M.R., *et al.* Ozonolysis can produce long-lived greenhouse gases from commercial refrigerants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A. 2023 120 (51) e2312714120. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2312714120</u>. Nielsen, O.J., *et al.* CF3H production from the ozonolysis of HCFOs: E- and Z-CF3CH=CHCl. Atmospheric Environment, Volume 343, 2025, 120953. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2024.120953</u>.
- 71. Van Hoomissen *et al.* (2025), CHF3 (HFC-23) and CF3CHO Quantum Yields in the Pulsed Laser Photolysis of CF3CHO at 248, 266, 281, and 308 nm. *Ibid*.
- 72. Adam, B., *et al.* Emissions of HFC-23 do not reflect commitments made under the Kigali Amendment. Communications Earth & Environment. Volume 5, Article number: 783 (2024). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01946-y</u>. Van Hoomissen *et al.* (2025), CHF3 (HFC-23) and CF3CHO Quantum Yields in the Pulsed Laser Photolysis of CF3CHO at 248, 266, 281, and 308 nm. *Ibid.*
- 73. GWP values are calculated using the infrared absorption of the molecule and its atmospheric lifetime, but they can also include the effects of degradation products.
- 74. Thomson *et al.* (2024), Fluoroform (CHF3) Production from CF3CHO Photolysis and Implications for the Decomposition of Hydrofluoroolefins and Hydrochlorofluoroolefins in the Atmosphere. *Ibid.*McGillen *et al.* (2023), Ozonolysis can produce long-lived greenhouse gases from commercial refrigerants. *Ibid.*Nielsen *et al.* (2025), CF3H production from the ozonolysis of HCFOs: E- and Z-CF3CH=CHCl. *Ibid.*
- 75. Burkholder *et al.* (2015), Atmospheric Degradation of Ozone Depleting Substances, Their Substitutes, and Related Species. *Ibid.*
- 76. Thomson *et al.* (2024), Fluoroform (CHF3) Production from CF3CHO Photolysis and Implications for the Decomposition of Hydrofluoroolefins and Hydrochlorofluoroolefins in the Atmosphere. *Ibid.*
- 77. CCHEMTrust, 2023. PFAS Water Contamination Scandals Unfold Across Europe. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025] Forever Pollution Project, 2023. The Forever Pollution Project: Journalists tracking PFAS across Europe. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025] The Guardian, 2023. Top US chemical firms to pay \$1.2bn to settlewater contamination lawsuits. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025]
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 2022. Regulatory Impact Analysis for Phasing Down Production and Consumption of Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). <u>Available here</u>.

- 79. US EPA (2022), Regulatory Impact Analysis for Phasing Down Production and Consumption of Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). *Ibid*.
- 80. Liang, Z, et al. Co-emissions of fluoride ion, fluorinated greenhouse gases, and per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) from different fluorochemical production processes. Environmental Pollution. Volume 360 (2024) 124609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2024.124609.
 Forján, R., et al. Contribution of fluorite mining waste to mercury contamination in coastal systems. Marine Pollution Bulletin. Volume 149, 2019, 110576.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.110576
 Earth Rights International, 2025. Indigenous Communities in Mae La Noi Stand Against Fluorite Mining. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025].
 Earth Island Journal, 2022. The Axis of Fluoride: Corporate Pollution in Mexico. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025].
- 81. Wang *et al.* (2021), A New OECD Definition for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances. *Ibid.*
- EEAP (2022), Environmental Effects of Stratospheric Ozone Depletion, UV Radiation, and Interactions with Climate Change. *Ibid.* Singh, R.R., Papanastasiou, D.K.. Comment on "Scientific Basis for Managing PFAS as a Chemical Class". Environmental Science & Technology Letters. 2021, 8, 2, 192–194. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00765</u>.
 Wallington, T. J., *et al.* The case for a more precise definition of regulated PFAS. Environmental Science Processes & Impacts, 2021, 23, 1834-1838. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EM00296A</u>.
- Arp, H.P.H., et al. The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). Environmental Science and Technology. 2024/11/12. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c06189. Kwiatkowski, C.F., et al. Scientific Basis for Managing PFAS as a Chemical Class. Environmental Science & Technology Letters. 2020 7 (8), 532-543. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00255.
- 84. Kwiatkowski *et al.* (2020), Scientific Basis for Managing PFAS as a Chemical Class. *Ibid.*
- WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* EEAP (2022), Environmental Effects of Stratospheric Ozone Depletion, UV Radiation, and Interactions with Climate Change. *Ibid.*
- 86. Arp et al. (2024), The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). Ibid. Global 2000 and Pesticide Action Network, 2025. Message From The Bottle: The Rapid Rise of TFA Contamination Across the EU. <u>Available here</u>. Garavagno, M. d. l. A., et al. Trifluoroacetic Acid: Toxicity, Sources, Sinks and Future Prospects. Sustainability, 2024 16(6), 2382. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16062382 Pickard, H.M., et al. Ice Core Record of Persistent Short-Chain Fluorinated Alkyl Acids: Evidence of the Impact From Global Environmental Regulations. Advancing Earth and Space Science, Volume 47, Issue 10, 28 May 2020. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087535 Zhou, Y., et al. Escalating global pollution of trifluoroacetic acid. Science Bulletin. 2024 Aug 30;69(16):2483-2486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2024.05.004 Arp et al. (2024), The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). Ibid.

87. There have long been claims of a natural source of TFA, but a 2021 study brought the evidence into serious doubt and concluded that there is insufficient evidence to either prove or disprove the existence of a natural source of TFA. A 2023 study, funded by the European Fluorocarbons Technical Committee ((EFCTC, a fluorochemical industry trade association) again claimed to prove the existence of a natural source of TFA, though as far as we are aware this still relies on the same highly speculative estimates for Ocean TFA which the 2021 study had questioned.

See: Joudan, S., *et al.* Insufficient evidence for the existence of natural trifluoroacetic acid. Environmental Science Processes & Impacts, 2021,23, 1641-1649. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EM00306B</u>. Lindley, A.A. An Inventory of Fluorspar Production, Industrial Use, and Emissions of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA) in the Period 1930 to 1999. Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection. Vol.11 No.3, March 2023. <u>https://doi.org/10.4236/gep.2023.113001</u>.

88. Pickard *et al.* (2020), Ice Core Record of Persistent Short-Chain Fluorinated Alkyl Acids: Evidence of the Impact From Global Environmental Regulations. *Ibid.*

Zhou *et al.* (2024), Escalating global pollution of trifluoroacetic acid. Science Bulletin. *Ibid.*

Albers, C.N., Sültenfuss, J. A 60-Year Increase in the Ultrashort-Chain PFAS Trifluoroacetate and Its Suitability as a Tracer for Groundwater Age. Environmental Science & Technology Letters. 2024, 11, 10, 1090–1095. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.4c00525</u>

 Holland, R., et al. Investigation of the Production of Trifluoroacetic Acid from Two Halocarbons, HFC-134a and HFO-1234yf and Its Fates Using a Global Three-Dimensional Chemical Transport Model. ACS Earth and Space Chemistry. 2021, 5, 4, 849–857.

https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.0c00355.

90. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* Holland *et al.* (2021), Investigation of the Production of Trifluoroacetic Acid from Two Halocarbons, HFC-134a and HFO-1234yf and Its Fates Using a Global Three-Dimensional Chemical Transport Model. *Ibid.*

Wang, Y., *et al.* Atmospheric fate and impacts of HFO-1234yf from mobile air conditioners in East Asia. Science of The Total Environment. Volume 916, 2024, 170137.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170137.

Henne, S., *et al.* Future Emissions and Atmospheric Fate of HFC-1234yf from Mobile Air Conditioners in Europe. Environmental Science & Technology, 2012, 46, 3, 1650–1658. https://doi.org/10.1021/es2034608.

Kazil, J., S. *et al.* Deposition and rainwater concentrations of trifluoroacetic acid in the United States from the use of HFO-1234yf. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 119, 14,059–14,079. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022058.

Luecken, D.J., *et al.* Ozone and TFA Impacts in North America from Degradation of 2,3,3,3-Tetrafluoropropene (HFO-1234yf), A Potential Greenhouse Gas Replacement. Environmental Science & Technology. 2010, 44, 1, 343–348. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es902481f</u>. Russell, M. H., G. *et al.* TFA from HFO-1234yf: Accumulation and aquatic risk in terminal water bodies. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2012, 31(9), 1957–1965. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.1925</u>.

Wang, Z., *et al.* Impacts of the Degradation of 2,3,3,3-Tetrafluoropropene into Trifluoroacetic Acid from Its Application in Automobile Air Conditioners in China, the United States, and Europe. Environmental Science & Technology. 2018 Mar 6;52(5):2819-2826. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b05960</u>. 91. Holland *et al.* (2021), Investigation of the Production of Trifluoroacetic Acid from Two Halocarbons, HFC-134a and HFO-1234yf and Its Fates Using a Global Three-Dimensional Chemical Transport Model. *Ibid.* WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.*

92. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid.

- 93. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* Holland *et al.* (2021), Investigation of the Production of Trifluoroacetic Acid from Two Halocarbons, HFC-134a and HFO-1234yf and Its Fates Using a Global Three-Dimensional Chemical Transport Model. *Ibid.*
- 94. TFA is classes as vPvM (very persistent very mobile). See: Hale, S.E., et al. Getting in control of persistent, mobile and toxic (PMT) and very persistent and very mobile (vPvM) substances to protect water resources: strategies from diverse perspectives. Environmental Sciences Europe. 34, 22 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-022-00604-4.
- 95. Arp *et al.* (2024), The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). *Ibid*.
- 96. Dekant, W., Dekant, R. Mammalian toxicity of trifluoroacetate and assessment of human health risks due to environmental exposures. Archives of Toxicology. 2023 Apr;97(4):1069-1077. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-023-03454-y</u>. Boutonnet, J. C., *et al.* Environmental Risk Assessment of Trifluoroacetic Acid. Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal . 1999, 5 (1), 59–124. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039991289644</u>.

EEAP (2022), Environmental Effects of Stratospheric Ozone Depletion, UV Radiation, and Interactions with Climate Change. *Ibid.*

- 97. German Environment Agency, 2025. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA): Assessment submitted for classification into new hazard classes. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed June 2025].
- 98. Arp et al. (2024), The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). *Ibid.* Garavagno et al. (2024), Trifluoroacetic Acid: Toxicity, Sources, Sinks and Future Prospects. *Ibid.* WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* Holland et al (2021), Investigation of the Production of Trifluoroacetic Acid from Two Halocarbons, HFC-134a and HFO-1234yf and Its Fates Using a Global Three-Dimensional Chemical Transport Model. *Ibid.* Freeling, F., Björnsdotter, M.K.. Assessing the environmental occurrence of the anthropogenic contaminant trifluoroacetic

occurrence of the anthropogenic contaminant trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry. 2023. Volume 41,2023,100807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2023.100807

- 99. EEAP (2022), Environmental Effects of Stratospheric Ozone Environmental Effects Assessment Panel (EEAP), 2024. Environmental consequences of interacting effects of changes in stratospheric ozone, ultraviolet radiation and climate. <u>Available here</u>.
- 100. Arp *et al.* (2024), The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). *Ibid.* Freeling*et al.* (2023). Assessing the environmental occurrence of the anthropogenic contaminant trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). *Ibid.*

Cousins, I. T., *et al.* Why is high persistence alone a major cause of concern? Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts. 2019,21, 781-792. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EM00515J</u>. Kwiatkowski, C.F., *et al.* Response to "Comment on Scientific Basis for Managing PFAS as a Chemical Class". Environmental Science & Technology Letters. 2021, 8, 2, 195–197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.1c00049</u>.

101. The EEAP 2022 Assessment (EEAP (2022), Environmental Effects of Stratospheric Ozone Depletion, UV Radiation, and Interactions with Climate Change. *Ibid.*) dismissed the views expressed in numerous scientific publications about why TFA's persistence is a concern or what the scientific argument is for regulating PFAS as a chemical class, though there was not any real discussion of the arguments laid out in these peer reviewed publications (eg, see EEAP (2022), pg 279). In the EEAP 2024 Update Assessment (EEAP (2024), Environmental consequences of interacting effects of changes in stratospheric ozone, ultraviolet radiation and climate. *Ibid.*), there is no discussion about the classification of TFA as PFAS, or the concerns being voiced by many scientists about its persistence. This may be because the EEAP feel they dealt with it by expressing their contrary opinion in the 2022 assessment. However, EEAP's opinion on this does not change the fact that it is an area of ongoing scientific debate in the peer reviewed literature. Between 2022 and 2025 (when the 2024 Update Assessment was published) several new peer reviewed papers that note concerns around TFA were published and there has been ongoing discussion in the scientific community. For example: Arp et al. (2024), The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). Ibid.),

Garavagno *et al.* (2024), Trifluoroacetic Acid: Toxicity, Sources, Sinks and Future Prospects. *Ibid.*

Freeling *et al.* (2023). Assessing the environmental occurrence of the anthropogenic contaminant trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). *Ibid.*

102. Cousins *et al.* (2019), Why is high persistence alone a major cause of concern? *Ibid*.

103. Kwiatkowski *et al.* (2021), Response to "Comment on Scientific Basis for Managing PFAS as a Chemical Class". *Ibid.*Kwiatkowski *et al.* (2020), Scientific Basis for Managing PFAS as a Chemical Class. *Ibid.*Cousins *et al.* (2019), Why is high persistence alone a major

cousins *et al.* (2019), Why is high persistence alone a major cause of concern? *Ibid.*

Arp *et al.* (2024), The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). *Ibid.* Note that there have been some efforts to develop methods to remove TFA from contaminated water sources, but these have been found it be very costly, inefficient and technically challenging. See: Arp *et al.* (2024), The Global Threat from the Irreversible Accumulation of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). *Ibid.* Garavagno *et al.* (2024), Trifluoroacetic Acid: Toxicity, Sources, Sinks and Future Prospects. *Ibid.*

The European federation of national associations of water services (EurEau), 2025. Position Paper TFA in Drinking Water Resources. <u>Available here</u>.

104. Gaber, N., et al. The Devil they Knew: Chemical Documents Analysis of Industry Influence on PFAS Science. Annals of Global Health. 89(1), p. 37. <u>https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.4013</u>. Hansen, F.H., et al. Late lessons from early warnings on PFAS. Nature Water | Volume 2 | December 2024 | 1157–1165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s44221-023-00168-4</u>.

- 105. Forever Pollution Project (2023).
- 106. Gaber *et al.* (2023), The Devil they Knew: Chemical Documents Analysis of Industry Influence on PFAS Science. *Ibid.*
- 107. For example, none of these publications mention TFA or PFAS:
 Opteon (2023), F-gases: A Critical Climate Solution. *Ibid*.
 Opteon (2022), HFOs: What You Need To Know. *Ibid*.
 Honeywell (2012), Low GWP hydrofluoroolefins (HFO) Reducing the impact on climate change. *Ibid*..
 The following web page states that TFA is a naturally

occurring substance and does not pose a threat to humans and the environment, and does not mention the debate over natural origins, PFAS, or TFA's persistence or arguments about why that is a concern: Opteon. Natural Refrigerants: Separating Myths From Facts. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed June 2025].

A Chemours "fact sheet" states that 95% of TFA is formed naturally, with no reference to the studies that brought this into question. Again there is no mention of TFA's persistence or arguments about why that is a concern, or TFA's classification as PFAS. It also claims that if all car MAC units used HFO-1234YF, it would only increase the amount of TFA in the world's oceans by 0.04% but it is unclear to EIA how this figure was calculated from the reference given. For comparison, projections in the SAP 2022 Assessment Report indicate that between 2020 and 2100 the use of HFC-134a and HFO-1234yf could substantially increase ocean TFA concentrations. See: Chemours, 2024. HFOs and TFA: Know the facts. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025].

108. Ibid.

109. For example: Boutonnet *et al.* (1999), Environmental Risk Assessment of Trifluoroacetic Acid. Ibid. Wallington et al. (2021), The case for a more precise definition of regulated PFAS. Environmental Science Processes & Impacts. *Ibid.* (In this case, no fluorochemical producers were involved, but one of the authors worked for a Motor company which uses HFO-1234yf). Singh et al. (2021), Comment on "Scientific Basis for Managing PFAS as a Chemical Class". Ibid. Luecken et al. (2010), Ozone and TFA Impacts in North America from Degradation of 2,3,3,3-Tetrafluoropropene (HFO-1234yf), A Potential Greenhouse Gas Replacement. Ibid. Russell et al. (2012), TFA from HFO-1234yf: Accumulation and aquatic risk in terminal water bodies. *Ibid.* Lindley (2023), An Inventory of Fluorspar Production, Industrial Use, and Emissions of Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA) in the Period 1930 to 1999. Ibid.

- 110. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Ibid. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2024. UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/94/58. Paper on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing. <u>Available here</u>. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2025. UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/96/60. Updated report on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing with a focus on small- and medium-sized enterprises, in particular for spray and insulating foam applications (Decision 94/58(c)). <u>Available here</u>.
- III. Green Cooling Initiative, 2024. High GWP Refrigerants Face Soaring Prices as Natural Alternatives Offer Stability.
 <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025].
 MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*

CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*

UNEP (2025). Updated report on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing with a focus on small- and medium-sized enterprises, in particular for spray and insulating foam applications. *Ibid.* UNEP (2024), Paper on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane

foam manufacturing. Ibid.

- 112. Sherry *et al.* (2017), HFO-1234yf: An Examination of Projected Long-Term Costs of Production. *Ibid.*
- MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.* CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*
- 114. For example: United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2024. UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/95/82. Project Proposal: Zimbabwe. Available at:

https://www.multilateralfund.org/meetings/95/pre-session. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2023. UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/93/68. Project Proposal: Malaysia. Available at:

https://www.multilateralfund.org/meetings/93/pre-session United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2023. UNEP/OzL.Pro/ExCom/93/70*. Project Proposals: Mexico. Available at:

https://www.multilateralfund.org/meetings/93/pre-session UNEP (2024), Paper on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing. *Ibid.*

UNEP (2025), Updated report on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing with a focus on small- and medium-sized enterprises, in particular for spray and insulating foam applications. *Ibid.*

115. UNEP (2024), Paper on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing. *Ibid.*

UNEP (2025). Updated report on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing with a focus on small- and medium-sized enterprises, in particular for spray and insulating foam applications. *Ibid*.

- 116. United Nations Environment Programme, 2024. Outcomes of the workshop on life-cycle refrigerant management. UNEP/OzL.Pro/Workshop.13/3. <u>Available here</u>.
- 117. Lavelle, J., 2006. National Refrigerants Ltd. Understanding refrigerant blend performance. <u>Available here</u>.

118. Ibid.

- 119. Jana Mašíčková, personal communication, 2025.
- 120. Belman-Flores, J.M., *et al.* Drop-In Replacement of R134a in a Household Refrigerator with Low-GWP Refrigerants R513A, R516A, and R1234ze(E). Energies 2023, 16, 3422. https://doi.org/10.3390/en16083422.
- 121. Cooling Post, 2025. Europe "flooded" with illegal R404A/R507. Blend GWP values are from the RTOC 2022 Assessment Report.
- 122. Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), 2024. More Chilling Than Ever: Tackling Europe's ongoing illegal trade in HFC climate super pollutants. <u>Available here</u>.

123. Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA). Case Studies: Cool Technologies. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025].
Fire Suppression Technical Options Committee (FSTOC), 2022.
FSTOC 2022 Assessment Report. <u>Available here</u>.
Glüge, J., *et al.* Finding non-fluorinated alternatives to fluorinated gases used as refrigerants. Environmental Science processes & Impacts , 2024, 26, 1955-1974.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D4EM00444B</u>
TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). *Ibid.*RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*FTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*

124. FTOC2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.* FSTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.* RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*

MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid..

125. These substances are referred to as natural refrigerants because unlike fluorinated refrigerants, which are synthetic substances that do not occur naturally, they are molecules that can be found naturally. It does not mean that the refrigerants themselves are natural or bio-derived, as they are still produced via chemical manufacturing processes.

126. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). *Ibid.* Glüge *et al.* (2024), Finding non-fluorinated alternatives to fluorinated gases used as refrigerants. *Ibid.* Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), 2025. Pumping Up the Potential: Maximising the climate benefits of Europe's heat pump roll-out with natural refrigerants. <u>Available here</u>. ATMO, 2024. Natural Refrigerants: State of the Industry (2024 Edition). <u>Available here</u>.

- 127. European Commission (2024), F-gas Regulation (EU) 2024/573. Annex IV. Note that each ban includes a caveat that F-gases would still be allowed "when required to meet safety requirements".
- 128. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid. IPCC Sixth Assessment Report, 2022. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. <u>Available here</u>.
- 129. Hodnebrog, O., et al. Lifetimes, direct and indirect radiative forcing, and global warming potentials of ethane (C 2H 6), propane (C3H 8), and butane (C4H 10). Atmospheric Science Letters. Volume19, Issue2, February 2018, e804 https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.804
- 130. Sherwood, S., *et al.* The global warming potential of nearsurface emitted water vapour. 2018 Environ. Res. Lett. 13 104006. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aae018</u>.
- 131. IIR (2016). Guideline for Life Cycle Climate Performance. *Ibid.* Boyce, J., *et al.* A prospective life cycle assessment of global ammonia decarbonisation scenarios. Heliyon. 2024 Mar 7;10(6):e27547. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e27547</u>. It should be noted that, although the production of these nonfluorinated substances does not rely on ozone depleting or high GWP fluorinated and chlorinated substances, some are still petrochemicals (ie, derivates of fossil fuels) and efforts should be made to improve the sustainability of production processes. See: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 2024. Critical Literature Review of Low Global Warming Potential (GWP) Refrigerants and Their Environmental Impact. <u>Available here</u>.

- 132. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*FTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.*Oak Ridge National Laboratory (2024), Critical Literature
 Review of Low Global Warming Potential (GWP) Refrigerants
 and Their Environmental Impact. *Ibid.*
- 133. Mainstream Engineering Corporation, 2017. Techniques and Regulations for the Safe Handling of Flammable Hydrocarbon and Hydrofluoroolefin Refrigerants. <u>Available here</u>. Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), 2022. Modernized Safety Standard: A Crucial Milestone for Clean Cooling. <u>Available here</u>.
- 134. Worrall, J.C., *et al.* Just say no to metered dose inhalers. Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine. 27, 317–318 (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43678-025-00918-1.
- 135. United Nations Environment Programme Cool Coalition, 2023. Keeping it chill: How to meet cooling demand, while cutting emissions. <u>Available here</u>.
- 136. Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), 2025. Refrigerant Myth Busting. <u>Available here</u>.
- 137. Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), 2021. On Thin Ice. <u>Available here</u>.
- 138. Wan *et al.* (2021), A comprehensive review of life cycle climate performance (LCCP) for air conditioning systems. *Ibid.*Höges *et al.* (2025), Choosing the Optimal Refrigerant in Heat Pumps: Influence of the Ecologic Evaluation Method. *Ibid.*
- 139. Opteon. Natural Refrigerants: Separating Myths From Facts.
 <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed June 2025].
 Honeywell. Energy efficient. <u>Available here</u>.
 Chemours. Fluorinated Gases Support Energy Efficiency.
 <u>Available here</u>.
 Honeywell (2012), Low GWP hydrofluoroolefins (HFO) Reducing

Honeywell (2012), Low GWP hydrofluoroolefins (HFO) Reducing the impact on climate change. *Ibid.*

140. Sanchez, D., *et al.* Energy performance evaluation of R1234yf, R1234ze(E), R600a, R290 and R152a as low-GWP R134a alternatives. International Journal of Refrigeration, 74 (2017) 269-282. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2016.09.020</u> Sánchez, D., *et al.* Energy impact evaluation of different low-GWP alternatives to replace R134a in a beverage cooler. Experimental analysis and optimization for the pure refrigerants R152a, R1234yf, R290, R1270, R600a and R744. Energy Conversion and Management, 256 (2022) 115388. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2022.115388</u> Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP), 2018.

Report of the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP), 2018. Report of the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel, Decision XXIX/10--Task Force on Issues Related to Energy Efficiency While Phasing Down Hydrofluorocarbons. Available. here. Höges *et al.* (2025), Choosing the Optimal Refrigerant in Heat Pumps: Influence of the Ecologic Evaluation Method. *Ibid.*

- 141. TEAP (2018), Task Force on Issues Related to Energy Efficiency While Phasing Down Hydrofluorocarbons. *Ibid*.
- 142. TEAP (2018), Task Force on Issues Related to Energy Efficiency While Phasing Down Hydrofluorocarbons. *Ibid.*Ildiri, N., *et al.* Impacts of HVAC cleaning on energy consumption and supply airflow: A multi-climate evaluation.

Energy and Buildings. Volume 328, 2025, 115147, ISSN 0378-7788. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2024.115147</u>.

143. Wang *et al.* (2023), Comparative analysis on the life cycle climate performance of ground source heat pump using alternative refrigerants. *Ibid*.

TEAP (2018), Task Force on Issues Related to Energy Efficiency While Phasing Down Hydrofluorocarbons. *Ibid.* RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*

Glüge *et al.* (2024), Finding non-fluorinated alternatives to fluorinated gases used as refrigerants. *Ibid.*

Fabris, F., *et al.* Evaluation of the carbon footprint of HFC and natural refrigerant transport refrigeration units from a lifecycle perspective. International Journal of Refrigeration, Volume 159, 2024, Pages 17-27.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrefrig.2023.12.018.

Tsimpoukis, D. *et al.* Energy and environmental investigation of R744 all-in-one configurations for refrigeration and heating/air conditioning needs of a supermarket. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2021 Volume 279, ISSN 0959-6526, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123234.

Field data of a R744 unit satisfying thermal requirements of a resort in the South Mediterranean climate Silvia MINETTO*(a), Francesco FABRIS(a), Sergio MARINETTI(a), Luca BISETTO(b), Sergio GIROTTO(b), Antonio ROSSETTI(a), (a) National Research Council – Construction Technologies Institute, Padova, I-35127, Italy.

Höges *et al.* (2025), Choosing the Optimal Refrigerant in Heat Pumps: Influence of the Ecologic Evaluation Method. *Ibid.* Alawadhi, M., Phelan, P.E. Review of Residential Air Conditioning Systems Operating under High Ambient Temperatures. Energies 2022, 15(8), 2880; https://doi.org/10.3390/en15082880.

- 144. Gullo, P., *et al.* Crossing CO₂ equator with the aid of multiejector concept: A comprehensive energy and environmental comparative study. Energy, Volume 164, 2018, Pages 236-263, ISSN 0360-5442. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.08.205</u>.
- 145. Carrefour, 2023. CDP climate report.
- 146. European Commission (2024), F-gas Regulation (EU) 2024/573.
 Ibid. US EPA, Background on HFCs and the AIM Act. *Ibid.*
- 147. European Commission (2024), F-gas Regulation (EU) 2024/573. *Ibid.* Note that each ban includes a caveat that F-gases would still be allowed "when required to meet safety requirements".
- 148. Maine Department of Environmental Protection, 2025. PFAS in Products. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025]. European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), 2023. ANNEX XV RESTRICTION REPORT. PROPOSAL FOR A RESTRICTION (Perand polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs)). Version 2. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025]. Government of Canada, 2025. State of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Report. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025]. Government of Canada, Risk Management Approach for Perand polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), excluding fluoropolymers. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed May 2025].
- 149. Dubai Pathway on HFCs, 2016. Funding and flexibility in implementation: Issues raised during informal consultations among parties. <u>Available here</u>.

150. For examples relating to other cases of PFAS regulation see: Gaber *et al.* (2023), The Devil they Knew: Chemical Documents Analysis of Industry Influence on PFAS Science. *Ibid.* Hansen (2024), Late lessons from early warnings on PFAS. *Ibid.* For examples relating to previous F gas phase outs see the following:

Maxwell, J., Briscoe, F. There's Money in the air: The CFC Ban And Dupont's Regulatory Strategy. Business Strategy And The Environment, COL. 6, 276±286 (1997).

https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0836(199711)6:5<276::AID-BSE123>3.0.CO;2-A.

Greenpeace Position Paper, 1997. Du Pont: A Case Study In The 3d Corporate Strategy. Prepared for the 9th Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol. <u>Available here</u>. Corporate Europe Observatory, 2012. Climate bombs called HFCs: How the industry lobby is trying to block a phase-out of super greenhouse gases in Europe's refrigeration and air conditioning systems. <u>Available here</u>.

- WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* IPCC (2022), Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. *Ibid.*
- 152. According to the OECD definition outlined in Wang *et al.* (2021). For TFA formation see: EEAP (2022), Environmental Effects of Stratospheric Ozone Depletion, UV Radiation, and Interactions with Climate Change. Appendix SI 4 Estimated molar yields (%) of TFA from ODS replacements.
- 153. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 154. US EPA (2024), The 2024 EPA Automotive Trends Report: Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Fuel Economy, and Technology since 1975. *Ibid.*RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*
- 155. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.* Sherry *et al.* (2017), HFO-1234yf: An Examination of Projected Long-Term Costs of Production *Ibid.*
- 156. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.* CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*
- WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.* CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*
- 158. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.* CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*
- 159. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Ibid.
- 160. Report of the Scientific Assessment Panel in response to Decision XXXV/7: Emissions of HFC-23. *Ibid*.
- WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid*. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid*.

Giménez-Prades *et al.* (2022), Novel molecules as working fluids for refrigeration, heat pump and organic Rankine cycle systems. *Ibid.*

US EPA (2025), Revision to the Regulatory Definition of Volatile Organic Compounds. *Ibid.*

- 162. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. Ibid.
- 163. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*
- 164. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 165. Report of the Scientific Assessment Panel in response to Decision XXXV/7: Emissions of HFC-23. *Ibid.*
- 166. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.* CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*
- 167. WMO (2022), Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion. *Ibid.*CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*US EPA (2025), Revision to the Regulatory Definition of Volatile Organic Compounds. *Ibid.*
- 168. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 169. TEAP May 2024: Progress Report (Volume 1). Ibid.
- 170. Report of the Scientific Assessment Panel in response to Decision XXXV/7: Emissions of HFC-23. *Ibid*.
- 171. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 172. EEA (2021), Fluorinated polymers in a low carbon, circular and toxic-free economy Technical report. *Ibid.*Anderson *et al.* (2021), Narrowing feedstock exemptions under the Montreal Protocol has multiple environmental benefits. *Ibid.*
 - MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 173. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*Gluge *et al.* (2024), Finding non-fluorinated alternatives to fluorinated gases used as refrigerants. *Ibid.*ATMO (2024), Natural Refrigerants: State of the Industry. *Ibid.*
- 174. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.* CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*Gluge *et al.* (2024), Finding non-fluorinated alternatives to fluorinated gases used as refrigerants. *Ibid.*ATMO (2024), Natural Refrigerants: State of the Industry. *Ibid.*
- 175. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*FTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*Proklima International, 2012. Natural Foam Blowing Agents Sustainable Ozone- and Climate-Friendly Alternatives to HCFCs. <u>Available here</u>.
- 176. FSTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 177. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.

- 178. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 179. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*Gluge *et al.* (2024), Finding non-fluorinated alternatives to fluorinated gases used as refrigerants. *Ibid.*RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*
- 180. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*Gluge *et al.* (2024), Finding non-fluorinated alternatives to fluorinated gases used as refrigerants. *Ibid.*ATMO (2024), Natural Refrigerants: State of the Industry. *Ibid.*CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*EIA (2025), Pumping Up the Potential: Maximising the climate benefits of Europe's heat pump roll-out with natural refrigerants. *Ibid.*
- CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.* MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*
- 182. Hodnebrog *et al.* (2018), Lifetimes, direct and indirect radiative forcing, and global warming potentials of ethane (C 2H 6), propane (C3H 8), and butane (C4H 10). *Ibid.*
- 183. CEMAC (2020), Refrigerants: Market Trends and Supply Chain Assessment. *Ibid.*FTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*UNEP (2024), Paper on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing. *Ibid.*
- 184. FTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 185. RTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 186. Proklima International (2012), Natural Foam Blowing Agents Sustainable Ozone- and Climate-Friendly Alternatives to HCFCs. *Ibid.*UNEP (2024), Paper on the issue of alternatives in polyurethane foam manufacturing. *Ibid.*FTOC 2022 Assessment Report. *Ibid.*
- 187. FSTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 188. Sherwood *et al.* (2018), The global warming potential of nearsurface emitted water vapour. *Ibid.*
- 189. Mirai cold product portfolio, 2024. <u>Available here</u>. Glüge *et al.* (2024), Finding non-fluorinated alternatives to fluorinated gases used as refrigerants. *Ibid*.
- 190. Proklima International (2012), Natural Foam Blowing Agents Sustainable Ozone- and Climate-Friendly Alternatives to HCF. *Ibid.*
- 191. FSTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 192. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.
- 193. Scantec Refrigeration. Ultra Low Temperature Storage With R729. <u>Available here</u>. [Accessed June 2025]. Mirai cold product portfolio (2024). *Ibid*.
- 194. MCTOC 2022 Assessment Report. Ibid.



EIA UK 62-63 Upper Street, London NI 0NY, UK eia-international.org +44 (0)20 7354 7960 **EIA US** PO Box 53343, Washington DC, 20009, USA eia.org +1 202 483 6621